



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Eddy
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,579	07/30/2003	Motoshi Yamauchi	OHT-0020	6447
23353	7590	08/26/2005	EXAMINER	
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036				FERGUSON, MARISSA L
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
		2854		

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/629,579	YAMAUCHI, MOTOSHI
	Examiner Marissa L. Ferguson	Art Unit 2854

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 June 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 4,9-16 and 21-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-3,5-8 and 17-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 4 and 9-16 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 21-23 and 25-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 24 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-23 and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Sellers (US Patent 6,437,972).

Regarding claim 21, AAPA teaches a key pad having a plurality of resin key tops (3) arranged on a translucent key sheet (2), a circuit board (7) which is arranged below the key pad and on which a circuit component (6) serving as an illumination source is mounted, the circuit component protruding from a surface of the circuit board (Page 2, Lines 2-4 and Paragraph 0033, Lines 6-11), the resin key tops being pressed toward the circuit board to effect an input operation with the resin key tops (inherent feature), wherein the circuit component (6) is being caused to emit light to illuminate the resin key tops (Page 2, Lines 2-4 and Paragraph 0033, Lines 6-11), wherein a resin key top of the resin key tops has a flange portion (8), the flange portion outwardly protruding from a lower end side surface of the resin key top (Figure 12). However, he does not explicitly disclose flange portions with a clearance portion for avoiding contact with the circuit component under depression of the resin key tops.

Sellers teaches a keyboard with key tops (32) with flange clearance portions (52,

58 and Figure 2) for avoiding contact under depression.. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the ad to modify the invention as taught by AAPA to replace the key tops thereof with the key tops with flange clearance portions as taught by Sellers, since Sellers teaches less interference in order to generate an appropriate signal.

Regarding to claim 22, AAPA teaches wherein the circuit component (6) is a protrusion protruding from a general surface of a surface of the key sheet (7 and Figure 12).

Regarding claim 23, AAPA teaches wherein the accommodating portion (area surrounding element 6) of the circuit component formed in the key sheet (2) is a protrusion protruding from a general surface of a surface of the key sheet (2 and Figure 12). Regarding claim 25, AAPA teaches the invention with the exception of wherein the clearance portions are of a configuration in conformity with an outer configuration of the interference members. Sellers teaches a keyboard with key tops with flange clearance portions (58 and Figure 2) are of configuration of the interference members (52). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention as taught by AAPA to replace the key tops thereof with the key tops with flange clearance portions as taught by Sellers, since Sellers teaches less interference in order to generate an appropriate signal.

Regarding claims 26 and 27, AAPA teaches the invention with the exception of wherein the clearance portions are of a configuration in which the wall thickness is reduced entirely along a height direction of the portion of the key top and a lower portion

along a height direction thereof of the key top. Sellers teaches clearance members (58) with outer configurations that confirm with the outer configuration of the interference members (52 and Figure 2) and wherein wall thickness is reduced along a height and lower portion of the resin key tops (Figure 2). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention as taught by AAPA to include clearance members that are in conformity with a configuration and thicknesses reduced along a portion as taught by Sellers, since Sellers teaches that it is advantageous to provide a secure connection and sufficient support.

Regarding claim 28, AAPA teaches wherein the flange portion is adapted to engage with the back surface of a casing (Page 2, Paragraph 0033, Lines 3-5).

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claim 24 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
3. Claims 4 and 9-16 are allowed.
4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Regarding claims 4 and 24, the prior art does not teach or render obvious wherein an accommodating portion of the circuit component is a leg portion floatingly supporting the

resin key top mounting portion of the key sheet so as to be capable of moving toward and away from a substrate surface opposed to the key sheet back surface.

Regarding claims 9 and 13, the prior art does not teach or render obvious a resin relief protrusion formed at an entrance that serves as a boundary between the key top forming portion and the runner portion and protruding from a surface of the cavity, the resin relief protrusion being smaller in width than the entrance and having a molding surface that is convex toward the key top forming portion.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marissa L. Ferguson whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 2854

272-2163. The examiner can normally be reached on (M-T) 6:30am-4:00pm and every other (F) 7:30am-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marissa L Ferguson
Examiner
Art Unit 2854



REN YAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER